Considerations for the Use of Real-World Data and Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Drug and Biological Products

Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 90 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <u>https://www.regulations.gov</u>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Tala Fakhouri, 301-837-7407, or (CBER) Office of Communication, Outreach and Development, 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

December 2021 Real World Data/Real World Evidence (RWD/RWE)

Considerations for the Use of Real-World Data and Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Drug and Biological Products

Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from: Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353 Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov <u>https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/guidances-drugs</u> and/or

Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Room 3128 Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010 Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov

https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information-biologics/biologics-guidances

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

December 2021 Real World Data/Real World Evidence (RWD/RWE)

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|------|--|---|
| II. | BACKGROUND | 2 |
| III. | REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS ADDRESSED | 3 |
| А. | Applicability of 21 CFR Part 312 | 3 |
| B. | Regulatory Considerations for Non-Interventional (Observational) Studies | 4 |
| 1. | Overview | 4 |
| | Transparency Regarding Data Collection and Analysis | |
| | RWD Data Access | |
| 4. | Study Monitoring | 6 |
| 5. | Safety Reporting | 7 |
| 6. | Other Sponsor Responsibilities | 7 |
| GLOS | SARY | 9 |

Draft — Not for Implementation

Considerations for the Use of Real-World Data and Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Drug and Biological Products Guidance for Industry¹

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

13

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

14 15

16 I. INTRODUCTION 17

18 The 21st Century Cures Act (Cures Act),² signed into law on December 13, 2016, is intended to 19 accelerate medical product development and bring innovations faster and more efficiently to the 20 patients who need them. Among other provisions, the Cures Act added section 505F to the 21 Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 355g). Pursuant to this section,

FDA created a framework for a program (RWE Program) to evaluate the potential use of realworld evidence (RWE) in regulatory decision-making.³

24

FDA is issuing this guidance as part of its RWE Program to satisfy, in part, the mandate under

section 505F of the FD&C Act to issue guidance about the use of RWE to help support approval
 of a new indication for a drug⁴ already approved under section 505(c) of the FD&C Act or to

- 28 help support postapproval study requirements.⁵
- 29

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Medical Policy in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research in cooperation with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

² Public Law 114-255.

³ See the *Framework for FDA's Real-World Evidence Program*, available at <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/120060/download</u>. The framework and RWE Program also cover biological products licensed under the Public Health Service Act.

⁴ For the purposes of this guidance, all references to *drug* or *drugs* include both human drugs and biological products.

⁵ See section 505F(e) of the FD&C Act.

Draft — Not for Implementation

| | Draft — Not for Implementation |
|----------------|---|
| 30 31 | For the purposes of this guidance, FDA defines real-world data (RWD) and RWE as follows: |
| 32 33 34 | • RWD are data relating to patient health status and/or the delivery of health care routinely collected from a variety of sources. |
| 35 36 37 | • RWE is the clinical evidence about the usage and potential benefits or risks of a medical product derived from analysis of RWD. |
| 38 39 | This guidance discusses the applicability of FDA's investigational new drug application (IND) regulations under part 312 (21 CFR part 312) to various clinical study ⁶ designs that utilize RWD. |
| 40 41 42 | The guidance also clarifies the Agency's expectations concerning clinical studies using RWD submitted to FDA in support of a regulatory decision regarding the effectiveness and safety of a drug (e.g., as part of a new drug application (NDA) or biologics license application (BLA)) when |
| 43 44 | such studies are not subject to part 312. This guidance focuses primarily on clinical study designs that are non-interventional. |
| 45 46 | The contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind |
| 47 | the public in any way, unless specifically incorporated into a contract. This document is |
| 48 49 | intended only to provide clarity to the public regarding existing requirements under the law. FDA guidance documents, including this guidance, should be viewed only as recommendations, |
| 50 51 | unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word <i>should</i> in FDA guidance means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required. |
| 52 | TDA guidance means that something is suggested of recommended, but not required. |
| 53 54 | II. BACKGROUND |
| 55 | |
| 56 | For the purposes of this guidance, the term <i>interventional study</i> (also referred to as a clinical |
| 57 | trial) is a study in which participants, either healthy volunteers or volunteers with the disease |
| 58 | being studied, are assigned to one or more interventions, according to a study protocol, to |
| 59 60 | evaluate the effects of those interventions on subsequent health-related biomedical or behavioral outcomes. One example of an interventional study is a traditional randomized controlled trial, in |

61 which some participants are randomly assigned to receive a drug of interest (test article),

62 whereas others receive an active comparator drug or placebo. Clinical trials with pragmatic

63 elements (e.g., broad eligibility criteria, recruitment of participants in usual care settings) and

64 single-arm trials are other types of interventional study designs.

65

66 For the purposes of this guidance, a *non-interventional study* (also referred to as an observational

- 67 study) is a type of study in which patients received the marketed drug of interest during routine
- 68 medical practice and are not assigned to an intervention according to a protocol. Examples of

⁶ For the purposes of this guidance, the term *clinical study* means research that evaluates human health outcomes associated with taking a drug of interest. Clinical studies include interventional (clinical trial) designs and non-interventional (observational) designs (see section II in this guidance). The fact that this guidance refers to clinical trials as a type of clinical study should not be read to suggest that FDA considers clinical trials to be studies under section 505(o) of the FD&C Act, which authorizes FDA under specific conditions to require postapproval clinical trials and studies.

Draft — Not for Implementation

non-interventional study designs include (1) *observational cohort studies*,⁷ in which patients are identified as belonging to a study group according to the drug or drugs received or not received during routine medical practice, and subsequent biomedical or health outcomes are identified and (2) *case-control studies*, in which patients are identified as belonging to a study group based on having or not having a health-related biomedical or behavioral outcome, and antecedent treatments received are identified. III. **REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS ADDRESSED Applicability of 21 CFR Part 312** A.

This section discusses the applicability of part 312 (Investigational New Drug Application) to
studies involving the use of RWD.

• FDA regulations under part 312 outline procedures and requirements governing the use of investigational new drugs, including the requirements for an IND submission to and review by FDA. Under § 312.3, a clinical investigation is defined as "any experiment in which a drug is administered or dispensed to, or used involving, one or more human subjects. For the purposes of this part, an experiment is any use of a drug except for the use of a marketed drug in the course of medical practice."

• Interventional studies involving drugs generally meet the definition of a clinical investigation under § 312.3 and are subject to FDA regulations under part 312 as described in § 312.2. FDA recognizes the potential utility of using RWD in interventional studies; for example, to identify potential participants for a randomized controlled trial, to ascertain endpoints or outcomes (e.g., occurrence of stroke or other discrete events, hospitalization, survival) in a randomized controlled trial, or to serve as a comparator arm in an *externally controlled trial*, including *historically controlled trials*.⁸

Non-interventional studies analyze data reflecting the use of a marketed drug administered in routine medical practice, according to a medical provider's clinical judgment and based on patient characteristics, rather than assignment of a participant to a study arm according to a research protocol. As such, non-interventional studies are not clinical investigations as defined under § 312.3 and do not require an IND.

⁷ Words and phrases in *bold italics* are defined in the Glossary.

⁸ FDA regulations under 21 CFR 314.126 outlining the characteristics of adequate and well-controlled studies discuss the use of historical controls as comparators in clinical studies. Additional considerations for external or historical controls are addressed in the draft guidance for industry *Rare Diseases: Natural History Studies for Drug Development* (March 2019) (when final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic) and in the guidance for industry *E10 Choice of Control Group and Related Issues in Clinical Trials* (May 2001). We update guidances periodically. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents.

Draft — Not for Implementation

| 104 | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 105 | | B. | Regulatory Considerations for Non-Interventional (Observational) Studies | | | | | |
| 106 | | | | | | | | |
| 107 | This section discusses regulatory considerations for non-interventional studies involving the use | | | | | | | |
| 108 | of RW | D. | | | | | | |
| 109 | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | | 1. | Overview | | | | | |
| 111 | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | ٠ | - | dless of a study's interventional or non-interventional design, the evidence | | | | | |
| 113 | | | tted by a sponsor in a marketing application to support the safety and/or | | | | | |
| 114 | | | veness of a drug must satisfy the applicable legal standards for the application to be | | | | | |
| 115 | | approv | ved or licensed. ⁹ | | | | | |
| 116 | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | • | | igh many non-interventional studies involve only the analysis of data reflecting the | | | | | |
| 118 | | | a marketed drug in routine medical practice, certain non-interventional studies | | | | | |
| 119 | | | e ancillary protocol-specified activities or procedures (e.g., questionnaires, | | | | | |
| 120 121 | | | tory tests, imaging studies) that collect additional data to help address questions of | | | | | |
| 121 | | | it in these studies. FDA does not consider these types of studies to be clinical gations under part 312, and an IND is not required. Nonetheless, the protection of | | | | | |
| 122 | | | subjects under these circumstances is critical, and sponsors must ensure that | | | | | |
| 123 | | | able requirements per FDA regulations under 21 CFR parts 50 (Protection of | | | | | |
| 125 | | | n Subjects) and 56 (Institutional Review Boards) are met. | | | | | |
| 126 | | Human | i Subjects) and 50 (Institutional Review Dourds) are met. | | | | | |
| 127 | • | When | appropriate, sponsors should consult with experts who consider data privacy issues | | | | | |
| 128 | | | vide input on the protocol as part of the study design process for a non- | | | | | |
| 129 | | - | entional study, as these experts may help identify and address data privacy and | | | | | |
| 130 | | | ty concerns raised when accessing health care data. | | | | | |
| 131 | | | | | | | | |
| 132 | | 2. | Transparency Regarding Data Collection and Analysis | | | | | |
| 133 | | | | | | | | |
| 134 | • | Sponse | ors should engage with FDA in the early stages of designing a non-interventional | | | | | |
| 135 | | study i | intended to support a marketing application. For example, sponsors can request a | | | | | |
| 136 | | | C meeting with the appropriate review division to discuss Agency expectations for | | | | | |
| 137 | | | sign and conduct of their studies. ¹⁰ Sponsors should provide draft versions of their | | | | | |
| 138 | | | sed protocol and statistical analysis plan (SAP) for Agency review and comment, | | | | | |
| 139 | | prior to | o finalizing these documents and before conducting the study analyses. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

⁹ See section 505 of the FD&C Act, section 351 of the Public Health Service Act, and 21 CFR parts 314 and 601. Similarly, noninterventional studies may be required by FDA as a postmarketing requirement under section 505(o)(3) of the FD&C Act (or other authorities) or agreed upon between FDA and an applicant as a postmarketing commitment. Such studies carry specific obligations not addressed in this guidance. See, e.g., the discussion of postmarketing requirements and postmarketing commitments in the draft guidance for industry *Postmarketing Studies and Clinical Trials—Implementation of Section 505(o)(3) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act* (October 2019). When final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic.

¹⁰ Meeting requests are addressed in the draft guidance for industry *Formal Meetings Between the FDA and Sponsors or Applicants of PDUFA Products* (December 2017). When final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Draft — Not for Implementation

140 141 To adequately assess the results of a non-interventional study supporting a marketing • 142 application, FDA must be confident that particular data sources or databases were not 143 selected, or that specific analyses were not conducted, to favor a certain conclusion. 144 Therefore, the protocol and SAP should be finalized prior to conducting the prespecified 145 analyses listed in the protocol and SAP. The sponsor should provide evidence that the protocol and SAP were finalized prior to reviewing outcome data of a study and before 146 147 performing the prespecified analyses. In addition, any revisions to the protocol should be 148 date-stamped, and the rationale for each change should be provided. 149 150 FDA recognizes that access to and evaluation of relevant data sources or databases are • 151 important steps in the design of a study and in evaluating a study's feasibility. 152 Evaluations of data sources or databases for study design or feasibility purposes serve as 153 a first step to (1) learn about the suitability of the data source or database to address the 154 research question being posed and (2) estimate the statistical precision of a potential 155 study without evaluating outcomes for treatment arms. 156 157 Sponsors should describe in the study protocol all the data sources accessed when • 158 designing the study, as well as results from feasibility evaluations or exploratory analyses 159 of those data sources. Sponsors should provide a justification for selecting or excluding 160 relevant data sources from the study. FDA recommends that sponsors generate audit 161 trails in their datasets that can track access to and analyses performed on relevant data 162 sources. 163 164 • Sponsors should document all analyses performed on the data during the study design 165 phase, including feasibility evaluations and exploratory analyses. Sponsors should also 166 demonstrate that the choice of the final analytic dataset and the conduct of final analyses 167 align with the research question of interest and do not favor particular study findings. 168 169 Sponsors should describe patient characteristics of the source population (i.e., the • 170 population from which the study population is drawn) and the study population (i.e., the 171 population for which analyses are conducted) and note any differences that may impact 172 the final study findings. 173 174 • To ensure transparency regarding their study design, sponsors should post their study protocols on a publicly available website, such as ClinicalTrials.gov¹¹ or the web page 175 for the European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance 176 177 (ENCePP) for post-authorization studies.¹² 178

¹¹ See <u>https://www.clinicaltrials.gov</u>. For an interventional study that meets the definition of an *applicable clinical trial*, legal requirements exist for submission of certain information relating to the study. See 42 CFR part 11.

¹² See <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en</u>.

Draft — Not for Implementation

179 *3. RWD Data Access*

180

187

192

196 197

198

- In the early stages of designing a non-interventional study intended for use in a marketing application, sponsors should discuss with the relevant review division the expectations regarding access to RWD for their development program. Sponsors must ensure that they are able to submit patient-level data for any RWD that have been analyzed as part of the clinical study included in a marketing application when required under 21 CFR 314.50 and 601.2.
- If certain RWD are owned and controlled by third parties, sponsors should have
 agreements in place with those parties to ensure that all relevant patient-level data can be
 provided to FDA and that source data¹³ necessary to verify the RWD are made available
 for inspection as applicable.
- Sponsors should ensure that RWD and associated programming codes and algorithms
 submitted to FDA are documented, well-annotated, and complete, which would allow the
 FDA to replicate the study analysis using the same dataset and analytic approach.¹⁴
 - 4. Study Monitoring
- 199 • When a non-interventional study does not include any additional ancillary activities, 200 study monitoring generally may be focused on maintaining the reliability of the RWD 201 and *data integrity*, beginning with extraction of the data from its origin (i.e., data accrual) 202 through data curation and transformation and reporting of results. When a non-203 interventional study includes additional protocol-specified activities and procedures, 204 study monitoring should also ensure that applicable human subject protections are met 205 and data integrity is maintained.¹⁵ 206

¹³ For the purposes of this guidance, *source data* include all information in original records and certified copies of original records of clinical findings, observations, or other activities in a clinical investigation used for reconstructing and evaluating the investigation. For more information, see the guidance for industry *Electronic Source Data in Clinical Investigations* (September 2013).

¹⁴ For additional discussion of data management processes and on maintaining data integrity during data curation and transformation, see the draft guidance for industry *Real-World Data: Assessing Electronic Health Records and Medical Claims Data to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Drug and Biological Products* (September 2021). When final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic.

¹⁵ See, e.g., 21 CFR 56.111, which includes as a criterion for IRB approval of research that the research plan, where appropriate, makes adequate provision for monitoring data collected to ensure the safety of subjects.

Draft — Not for Implementation

- FDA encourages sponsors to use a risk-based quality management approach to study oversight. This approach focuses sponsor oversight activities on preventing or mitigating important and likely risks to study quality in most instances, and on processes critical to human subject protection that are relevant when ancillary protocol-specified activities or procedures are included in a non-interventional study.¹⁶
- 213 5. Safety Reporting
- Applicants of NDAs and BLAs and other responsible parties are subject to regulatory requirements regarding postmarketing safety reporting. Given that non-interventional studies examine the use of a drug in routine medical practice, the Agency requires that relevant adverse events be submitted to FDA in accordance with postmarketing safety reporting regulations.¹⁷
- 221 For non-interventional studies, FDA recognizes that sponsors will often use only a subset • 222 (often called an analytic dataset) of a larger real-world dataset to conduct their analyses to 223 support labeling changes. For example, a larger dataset may contain information 224 regarding a product's approved and unapproved uses in clinical practice. If the sponsor is 225 conducting a study to support a specific labeling change (e.g., a new indication), FDA 226 does not expect the sponsor to search the entire database regarding all uses of the product 227 for adverse events that would meet the reporting requirements under FDA's 228 postmarketing reporting regulations. Nonetheless, if a sponsor identifies adverse events 229 that are subject to postmarketing reporting requirements during the course of conducting 230 a non-interventional study, such events must be reported in accordance with applicable postmarketing reporting requirements.¹⁸ 231 232
- 233 234

235

236

237

238

212

214

220

- 6. Other Sponsor Responsibilities
- For a marketing application containing a non-interventional study submitted to support regulatory decisions regarding the safety or effectiveness of a product, the electronic systems used by the sponsor to manage the data and produce required records must comply with 21 CFR part 11.¹⁹

18 Ibid.

¹⁶ Additional considerations for risk-based monitoring practices that may be relevant for non-interventional study oversight can be found in the guidance for industry *Oversight of Clinical Investigations*—A *Risk-Based Approach to Monitoring* (August 2013), the draft guidance for industry A *Risk-Based Approach to Monitoring of Clinical Investigations*—Questions and Answers (March 2019) (when final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic), and the ICH guidance for industry E6(R2) Good Clinical Practice: Integrated Addendum to ICH E6(R1) (March 2018).

¹⁷ See §§ 314.80 and 314.81 and 21 CFR 600.80.

¹⁹ For further information, see (1) the guidance for industry *Part 11, Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures – Scope and Application* (August 2003), (2) the draft guidance for industry *Use of Electronic Records and Electronic Signatures in Clinical Investigations Under 21 CFR Part 11 – Questions and Answers* (June 2017) (when final, this

Draft — Not for Implementation

| 239 | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 240 • | Sponsors who submit non-interventional studies for regulatory review should take | | | |
| 241 | responsibility for all activities related to the design, conduct, and oversight of the studies. | | | |
| 242 | These activities should include, but not be limited to: | | | |
| 243 | | | | |
| 244 | - Selecting researchers qualified by training and experience to perform study-related | | | |
| 245 | activities and confirming that researchers have the skills and information needed to | | | |
| 246 | perform their roles in the study | | | |
| 247 | | | | |
| 248 | Ensuring that the study is conducted in accordance with the final protocol and | | | |
| 249 | statistical analysis plan and documenting any deviations | | | |
| 250 | | | | |
| 251 | Maintaining and retaining adequate study records | | | |
| 252 | | | | |
| 253 | - Ensuring that FDA can access and verify relevant records, such as source records of | | | |
| 254 | study analyses (see section III.B.3 of this guidance for data access considerations) | | | |
| 255 | | | | |
| 256 | - Ensuring appropriate monitoring of the study, including (when applicable) selecting a | | | |
| 257 | monitor qualified by training and experience for studies requiring additional data | | | |
| 258 | collection (e.g., patient-reported outcomes or laboratory assessments) | | | |
| 259 | | | | |
| 260 • | FDA expects that the sponsor will retain and make available to the Agency upon request | | | |
| 261 | a log of any researcher or researchers who have significant involvement in the design or | | | |
| 262 | conduct of the study. The log should contain information on researchers, including: | | | |
| 263 | | | | |
| 264 | Researcher's name and affiliations | | | |
| 265 | | | | |
| 266 | Description of roles or activities performed | | | |
| 267 | | | | |
| 268 | – Qualifications regarding education, training, and experience to perform the proposed | | | |
| 269 | study role | | | |
| 270 | | | | |
| 271 • | If sponsors engage third parties (e.g., data vendors or contract research organizations) to | | | |
| 272 | perform certain study-related tasks, sponsors should document the roles and | | | |
| 273 | responsibilities of the organization or organizations performing the tasks. These | | | |
| 274 | documents should be made available to FDA upon request. Sponsors should remain | | | |
| 275 | responsible for all study-related activities unless a sponsor has transferred its | | | |
| 276 | responsibility to a contract research organization. | | | |

guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic), and (3) the guidance for industry *Use of Electronic Health Record Data in Clinical Investigations* (July 2018).

Draft — Not for Implementation

277 **GLOSSARY** 278 279 **Case-Control Study**: A non-interventional study design wherein patients are identified as 280 belonging to a study group based on having or not having a health-related biomedical or 281 behavioral outcome, and antecedent treatments received are identified. 282 283 **Data Integrity**: The property that data or information have not been altered or destroyed in an 284 unauthorized manner, often referred to in terms of the completeness, consistency, and accuracy 285 of data. 286 287 **Externally Controlled Trial**: A clinical trial that compares outcomes in a group of participants 288 receiving the test treatment with outcomes in a group of people external to the trial, rather than to 289 an internal control group consisting of participants from the same trial population assigned to a 290 different treatment or no treatment. The external control arm can be a group of treated or 291 untreated patients from an earlier time in a historically controlled trial (see definition below) or a 292 group of treated or untreated patients during the same time period but in another setting. 293 294 Historically Controlled Trial: A clinical trial in which the results of treatment with the test 295 drug are compared with prior experience derived from the natural history of the disease or 296 condition, or from the results of active treatment, in comparable patients or populations. A 297 historically controlled trial is a subset of externally controlled trials (see definition above). 298 299 **Observational Cohort Study**: A non-interventional study design wherein patients are identified 300 as belonging to a study group according to drug or drugs received or not received during routine 301 medical practice, and subsequent biomedical or health outcomes are identified.